COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 2651-01

Bill No.: Perfected SB 888

<u>Subject</u>: Taxation and Revenue - Income

Type: Original Date: April 9, 2004

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
General Revenue	\$10,902,431	\$10,947,076	\$10,945,488	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund*	\$10,902,431	\$10,947,076	\$10,945,488	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 2651-01

Bill No. Perfected SB 888 Page 2 of 5 April 9, 2004

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials of the **Office of Administration - Division of Budget and Planning (BAP)** assume this bill would disallow the deduction for property tax paid to another state on nonresident tax returns. Based on data from the IRS Statistics of Income and the Department of Revenue, BAP makes the following assumptions:

- In Missouri in 2001, those with itemized deductions who claim a real estate (property) tax deduction have an average deduction of \$1,677 and 91% of those with itemized deductions claim a real estate tax deduction.
- In 2001, there were 120,000 nonresident returns that claimed itemized deductions.
- Assuming that 91% of these returns claim a real estate (property) tax deduction, there would be 109,200 nonresident returns claiming a \$1,677 deduction for real estate (property) tax paid to another state.

Based on these assumptions, Missouri would gain about \$183 million in taxable income by disallowing this deduction. Assuming that this would be taxed at the 6% tax rate, the revenue gain would be about \$11 million.

L.R. No. 2651-01 Bill No. Perfected SB 888 Page 3 of 5 April 9, 2004

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Assuming this provision would not be effective until tax year 2004, the revenue impact would be manifest upon the filing of returns in FY 2005 and each fiscal year thereafter.

BAP assumes property taxes paid to other states will be greater in 2004 relative to 2001, thus increasing the impact of this proposal, but BAP does not have the requisite data to make such an estimate. This bill would have no impact on BAP.

Officials of the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** state this legislation disallows a deduction for property taxes paid to another state on nonresident income tax returns by requiring nonresident taxpayers to add-back any amount deducted on the taxpayer's federal return.

Administrative Impact: Personal Tax will have to manually verify that a nonresident has taken property taxes as an itemized deduction on their federal return by reviewing the attached Federal Schedule A. If a Schedule A is not attached, MINITS will need to be modified in order to send notification to those nonresident taxpayers of the need of this documentation. DOR has 125,000 nonresident returns that itemize. Therefore, Personal Tax will need 2 Tax Season Temporary Employees to handle the extra key entry and the schedule verification. Assuming a 15% error rate on those returns, Personal Tax will need one Tax Processing Technician to process financial errors and one Tax Processing Technician to process correspondence.

MINITS and Speedup will need to be modified. DOR estimates that 1,384 hours of programming and testing will be needed, at a cost of \$46,170.

Revenue Impact: DOR does not have statistical data to determine the revenue impact of this legislation, and defers any revenue impact to BAP.

Oversight has, for fiscal note purposes only, adjusted the salary for the Tax Processing Techs to correspond to the second step above minimum for comparable positions on the state's merit system pay grid. This decision reflects a study of actual starting salaries for new state employees for a six-month period and the policy of the Oversight Subcommittee of the Joint Committee on Legislative Research. Oversight assumes the new personnel would be placed in existing space.

This proposal would increase Total State Revenues.

L.R. No. 2651-01 Bill No. Perfected SB 888 Page 4 of 5 April 9, 2004

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2005 (10 Mo.)	FY 2006	FY 2007
GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
Income - General Revenue Disallowance of property tax paid to			
other states on nonresident tax returns	<u>\$11,000,000</u>	\$11,000,000	\$11,000,000
<u>Cost</u> - Department of Revenue (DOR)	(025.054)	(027.052)	(000 1 (5)
Personal Service (2 FTE)	(\$35,974)	(\$37,053)	(\$38,165)
Fringe Benefits	(\$14,893)	(\$15,340)	(\$15,800)
Expense and Equipment	<u>(\$46,702)</u>	(\$531)	(\$547)
Administrative Cost to DOR	(\$97,569)	(\$52,924)	(\$54,512)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE			
GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>\$10,902,431</u>	<u>\$10,947,076</u>	<u>\$10,945,488</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007
	(10 Mo.)		
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

Currently, in certain cases, a nonresident may receive an itemized deduction on their federal return for property taxes paid to another state. Current Missouri law does not require that this amount be "added-back" on the Missouri return. Therefore, the deduction for property taxes paid to another state carries through to apply against the Missouri income tax of a nonresident. This act would eliminate this deduction by requiring nonresidents to add-back the amount of the federal deduction on their Missouri tax return.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

GVB:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 2651-01 Bill No. Perfected SB 888 Page 5 of 5 April 9, 2004

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Revenue Office of Administration Division of Budget and Planning

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Director April 9, 2004